arXiv:1501.05905v3 [math.SG] 17 Jul 2016

INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL SYMPLECTIC NON-SQUEEZING USING NON-STANDARD ANALYSIS

OLIVER FABERT

Abstract. Believing in the axiom of choice, we show how to deduce symplectic non-squeezing in infinite dimensions from the corresponding result of Gromov for all finite dimensions using pseudo-holomorphic curves. For this we use that every separable symplectic Hilbert space is contained in a symplectic vector space which behaves as if it were finite-dimensional, together with the fact that pseudo-holomorphic curves are minimal surfaces.

Contents

1. Introduction and summary 1
2. The standard model 6
3. The non-standard model 9
4. *-Finite-dimensional representations of infinite-dimensional flows 14
5. Non-squeezing in infinite dimensions using non-standard analysis 19
6. J-holomorphic spheres and *-finite-dimensional representations 21
7. Limited derivatives using bubbling-off and elliptic regularity 23
8. J-holomorphic spheres stay near-standard 25
References 30

1. Introduction and summary

It is known that many evolutionary partial differential equations, such as the Kortweg-de Vries equation, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the nonlinear wave equation, belong to the class of so-called Hamiltonian PDEs, where we refer to [9] for definitions, statements and further references. This means that they can be written in the form $\partial_t u = X^H(u)$, where the Hamiltonian vector field X^H is determined by the choice of a (time-dependent) Hamiltonian function $H = H_t$ and a linear symplectic form ω.

Here a bilinear form $\omega : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ on a real Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ is called *symplectic* if it is nondegenerate in the sense that the induced linear mapping $i_\omega : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}^*$ is an isomorphism. As in the finite-dimensional case it can be shown that for any symplectic form ω there exists a complex structure J_0 on $\mathbb{H}$ such that ω, J_0 and the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{H}$ are

---

O. Fabert, VU Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Email: oliver.fabert@gmail.com.

related via $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \omega(\cdot, J_0\cdot)$.

In the case of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the circle

$$-i\partial_t u \;+\; \Delta u \;+\; f(t,x,|u|)u \;=\; 0,$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is the time coordinate, $x \in S^1 = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\,\mathbb{Z}$ is the space coordinate, $u = u(t,x) \in \mathbb{C}$ and f is a smooth real-valued function, it is easy to compute that it can be written as

$$u_t \;=\; X_t^H(u),$$

where X_t^H is the symplectic gradient of the (time-dependent) Hamiltonian function

$$H_t(u) \;:=\; \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{2}|\partial_x u|^2 \;+\; F(t,x,|u|)\,dx,\;\; \partial_{|u|}F(t,x,|u|) = |u| f(t,x,|u|)$$

with respect to the symplectic form

$$\omega(u^0,u^1) = -\operatorname{Im}\int_0^{2\pi} u^0(x)\cdot\overline{u^1(x)}\,dx$$

on the Hilbert space $\mathbb{H} = L^2(S^1,\mathbb{C})$ of square-integrable complex-valued functions on the circle.

As the example of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation illustrates, it is a typical feature of Hamiltonian PDEs that the underlying Hamiltonian function is only defined on the dense subspace $\mathbb{H}_0$ of $\mathbb{H}$, $H = H_t : \mathbb{H}_0 \to \mathbb{R}$. Since, in general, the Hamiltonian function will not be defined on the same function space where the bilinear from ω is symplectic, following [9] one instead consider a nested sequence of separable Hilbert spaces

$$\mathbb{H} \supset \mathbb{H}_0 \supset \mathbb{H}_1 \supset \ldots \supset \mathbb{H}_k \supset \ldots,$$

called a Hilbert scale, where one requires that each inclusion is dense and compact and we assume that $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is related to the number of weak derivatives.

Since a Hamiltonian PDE can hence not be viewed as an ordinary differential equation on some Hilbert space, in particular the Hamiltonian vector field X_t^H typically does not possess a well-defined flow $\phi_t = \phi_t^H$ on the full symplectic Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$. While in general it is a very difficult task to prove the existence of such a flow, there are however special classes of Hamiltonian PDE, for which such a result exists.

Following [9], the existence of a flow holds true for Hamiltonian PDE which are *semilinear* in the sense that they are of the form

$$\partial_t u = Au + X_t^G(u),$$

where

$$A = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq d} c_\alpha D^\alpha : \mathbb{H}_s \to \mathbb{H}_{s-d}, \;\; s \geq d$$

is a linear differential operator of some order $d \geq 0$ and X_t^G is the symplectic gradient of a Hamiltonian function $G_t : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is defined and smooth on the full Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$.

Although the underlying Hamiltonian function

$$H_t = \frac{1}{2}\langle u, J_0 A u\rangle + G_t(u)$$

is still only defined on the dense subspace $\mathbb{H}_d \subset \mathbb{H}$, the existence of the flow essentially follows from the fact that the linear flow $\phi_t^A = e^{tJ_0A}$ of the differential operator A is defined and smooth on the full symplectic Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ and the flow ϕ_t^H of H_t is obtained by composing the flow ϕ_t^A with the flow ϕ_t^G of the Hamiltonian function

$$F_t = G_t \circ \phi_t^A : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R},$$

which is still defined and smooth on the full symplectic Hilbert space.

Since for linear symplectomorphisms on symplectic Hilbert spaces $\mathbb{H}$ non-squeezing can easily be proven as in the finite-dimensional case, it follows that, in order to prove non-squeezing for all Hamiltonian PDE which are semilinear in the above sense, it suffices to prove non-squeezing for flows $\phi_t^H : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ of Hamiltonians $H_t : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ which are defined and smooth on the full symplectic Hilbert space.

Denoting by $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ an arbitrary unitary subspace of $\mathbb{H}$, for each $r > 0$ let $B^{\mathbb{H}}(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{H} : \|x\| < r\}$, $Z^{\mathbb{H}}(r) = B^2(r) \times \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{C}$ denote the Hilbert ball and the Hilbert cylinder of radius $r > 0$, respectively. Using methods from non-standard analysis together with Gromov's original proof ([7]) using pseudo-holomorphic curves in finite-dimensions, we give a proof of the following

Theorem 1.1. *For every smooth Hamiltonian function* $H_t : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ *on a separable symplectic Hilbert space, its flow* $\phi_t = \phi_t^H$ *satisfies the natural analogue of Gromov's non-squeezing theorem: If for some* $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $r, R > 0$ *we have* $\phi_t(B^{\mathbb{H}}(r)) \subset Z^{\mathbb{H}}(R)$, *then* $R \geq r$.

As mentioned above, we have the following immediate

Corollary 1.2. *Let* ϕ_t *denote the symplectic flow defined by a semilinear Hamiltonian PDE, that is, a Hamiltonian PDE of the form*

$$\partial_t u = Au + X_t^G(u),$$

where A *is a linear differential operator and* X_t^G *is the symplectic gradient of a Hamiltonian function* G_t *defined and smooth on the full symplectic Hilbert space. Then* ϕ_t *has the above non-squeezing property.*

Before discussing the idea and details of the proof, let us first review some known results about the validity of non-squeezing in infinite dimensions: In the special case of semilinear Hamiltonian PDE where the flow is a smooth *compact* perturbation of the linear flow of A, non-squeezing was already proven by Kuksin, see [9]. This requires that the nonlinear part is not just smooth, but actually has a higher regularity, like e.g. a smoothing operator. Another result with regularity assumptions was established by Sukhov and Tumanov in [15]. Although most of the famous Hamiltonian

PDE were not covered by the result in [9], non-squeezing was proven, for example, for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and for the Korteweg-de Vries equation by Bourgain in [3] and by Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao in [4], respectively. Both results rely on the fact that the corresponding infinite-dimensional flows can be uniformly approximated by finite-dimensional flows. In particular, while in [15] the authors indeed worked out a generalization of Gromov's theory of pseudo-holomorphic curves to infinite dimensions under strong assumptions, in [9], [3] and [4] the generalization of non-squeezing to infinite dimensions is deduced from Gromov's original result in [7]. Finally, in [1], Abbondandolo and Majer proved non-squeezing for general infinite-dimensional symplectic flows under the assumption that the image of the unit ball stays convex, by defining an infinite-dimensional symplectic capacity.

While the work of Sukhov and Tumanov already illustrates the great challenges that arise if one wants to generalize Gromov's theory of pseudo-holomorphic curves to infinite dimensions, it is the goal of this paper to prove the existence of the relevant pseudo-holomorphic curves in the infinite-dimensional setting using methods from model theory of mathematical logic.

Indeed it is well-known, see e.g. [10], [11] and [8], that there exist so-called *non-standard* models of mathematics in which there exists an extension of the notion of finiteness: There exist new so-called unlimited *-real (and *-natural) numbers which are greater than all *standard* real (and natural) numbers; in an analogous way there exist infinitesimal numbers, whose moduli are smaller than any positive standard real number. These *-real numbers can be introduced, using the axiom of choice, as equivalence classes of sequences of real numbers, where the standard numbers are included as constant sequences, while unlimited and infinitesimal numbers are sequences converging to $\pm\infty$ or 0, respectively. In this paper we will use the resulting surprising fact that there exists a *-finite-dimensional symplectic space $\mathbb{F}$, i.e., a finite-dimensional symplectic space in the sense of the non-standard model, which contains the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ as a subspace. Furthermore, on $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$, the smooth finite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t = \phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$ can be represented by a *-finite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$, that is, they agree up to an error which is smaller than any (standard) positive real number.

While the existence of ideal elements such as $\mathbb{F}$ (and of the flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ on it) is established using the so-called *saturation principle*, the existence of pseudo-holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{H}$ (more precisely, in $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$) is then deduced from the classical finite-dimensional theory by employing the second fundamental principle of non-standard model theory, the so-called *transfer principle.* This principles states, among other things, that every statement that holds in finite dimensions and can be formulated in first-order logic has an analogue in the *-finite-dimensional setting. While this immediately allows us to deduce the existence of pseudo-holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{F}$ (more precisely, in ${}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/{}^*\,\mathbb{C}$, which contains $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$ as a subset) for the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$ defined using the *-finite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ (and perturbed in an infinitesimal way to achieve regularity), we essentially use the minimal surface property of pseudo-holomorphic curves to prove that these non-standard $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic spheres actually give pseudo-holomorphic spheres (in the standard meaning) for the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ defined using the infinite-dimensional flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$. More precisely, we prove the following

Theorem 1.3. *For every $t \in [0,1]$ the unique $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere $u_t : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is near-standard in the sense that it is infinitesimally close to $S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C} \subset {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$. Moreover, after applying the standard part map, one obtains a smooth $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{H}}$-holomorphic sphere ${}^\circ u_t : S^2 \to S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ in the standard sense.*

For the uniqueness of the $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere we first make the convention that this holds true up to reparametrization and we always assume that it goes through the fixed point $p = \phi^{\mathbb{H}}(0)$. Then we first observe that the near-standardness for $t = 0$ is obvious as every point in ${}^*S^2 \times \{0\} \subset {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is near-standard. In order to ensure that near-standardness is preserved as t is increased from 0 to 1, the crucial ingredient is to show that the derivative $\xi = \xi_t = \partial u_t / \partial t$ of the path is near-standard at all t where $u = u_t$ is already known to be near-standard. Here near-standardness of ξ means that $\xi(z) \in T_{u(z)}({}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{F}$ is infinitesimally close to a point in $\mathbb{H}$ for every $z \in {}^*S^2$. Using that ξ is the (unique) solution of a linear Cauchy-Riemann equation of the form $(\bar{\partial} + S) \cdot \xi = \eta$, we crucially employ the near-standardness of $u = u_t$ to show that $\bar{\partial}\xi$ is near-standard, which in turn will prove the near-standardness of ξ using a non-standard version of Liouville's theorem. We emphasize that here the near-standardness of u is essential, as we need that the first derivatives of u are limited numbers, that is, not unlimited in the above sense. We exclude unlimitedness of the first derivatives by slightly modifying Gromov's bubbling-off argument, building on the finiteness of energy (in the standard sense) and that the mean-value inequality holds with non-infinitesimal constants, where we crucially use the near-standardness of u. After establishing the limitedness of the first derivative, we use elliptic regularity (building again on the near-standardness of u) and the Sobolev embedding theorem to show that we actually obtain a smooth $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$-holomorphic sphere in the standard sense.

This paper is organized as follows: While in section two we first describe what we mean by the standard model of mathematics, using that all appearing mathematical entities are obtain by successively taking sets of sets starting from the real numbers, in section three we introduce all necessary concepts, definitions and theorems from non-standard model theory that are needed to follow the proof of our non-squeezing theorem. After proving the existence of the *-finite-dimensional symplectic space $\mathbb{F}$ containing $\mathbb{H}$ and the fact that the infinite-dimensional flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\mathbb{H}$ can be represented with all derivatives by the *-finite-dimensional flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ in section four, in section five we outline how non-squeezing in infinite dimensions can be proven using this *-finite-dimensional representation. While the monotonicity theorem holds directly by the transfer principle, the key ingredient is the proof of the above theorem, claiming the near-standardness of the pseudo-holomorphic spheres, where the key steps are outlined in section six. In section seven and eight we finally give the detailed proofs of the arguments sketched above.

The idea for this project came up after listing to Alberto Abbondandolo's talk on his joint work [1] at VU Amsterdam in December 2014. Further I am deeply grateful to Horst Osswald from LMU Munich for introducing me to the fascinating world of non-standard analysis during my time as undergraduate student.

2. The standard model

In this section we provide an outline of all the background and relevant definitions and statements about nonstandard analysis that the reader needs to know in order to follow the rest of the paper. Here we describe the original model-theoretic approach of Robinson ([14]), outlined in the excellent expositions [10], [11] as well as in [8], to which we refer and which shall also be consulted for more details and background.

Believing in the axiom of choice it is well-known, see e.g. ([11], theorem 2.9.10), that there exist non-standard models of mathematics in which, on one side, one can do the same mathematics as before (transfer principle) but, on the other side, all sets behave like compact sets (saturation principle). The idea is to successively introduce new ideal objects such as infinitely small and large numbers. The proof of existence of the resulting polysatured model is then performed in complete analogy to the proof of the statement that every field has an algebraic closure, by employing the axiom of choice.

A model of mathematics V of a family of sets which is rich enough in order to do all the mathematics that one has in mind. Since for existence proof of non-standard models it is crucial that V is still a set in the sense of set theory, there are (abstract) sets which are not in V. Below we show how to define such a set V which contains all mathematical entities that we need for our proof. For most of the upcoming definitions and theorems on the general background on model theory we refer the reader to [11] as well as [8]. The first definition is taken from the appendix in ([11], section 2.9).

Definition 2.1. *A sequence* $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of hierarchically ordered sets* V_n*,* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *is called a* model *if the elements in* V_n *are sets formed from the elements in* $V_0, \dots, V_{n-1}$*, i.e.,* $V_n \subset \mathfrak{P}(V_0 \cup \dots \cup V_{n-1})$ *and* V_0*, called the set of urelements, does not contains elements from higher sets, i.e.,* $V_0 \cap \bigcup_{n\geq 1} V_n = \emptyset$*.*

By choosing the model $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ large enough, one can ensure that the models contains all mathematical entities that one wants to work with. Apart from assuming that every subset formed from elements in $V_0, \dots, V_{n-1}$

is in V_n, below we show explicitly that for our proof it turns out to be sufficient to take the real numbers as urelements, i.e., $V_0 = \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.2. *We call* $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *the* standard model *if the urelements are the real numbers,* $V_0 = \mathbb{R}$*, and the model is full in the sense that* $V_n = \mathfrak{P}(V_0 \cup \ldots \cup V_{n-1})$.

In what follows, let $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ denote the standard model. As discussed in ([11], 2.9), it follows that

$$V(\mathbb{R}) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ with } V_n(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \cup V_n \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is the superstructure over the real numbers in the sense of ([11], definition 2.1.1) and ([8], definition 15.4). Note that, for $n > 1$, we have for every full model that $V_{n-1} \subset V_n$.

Since in analysis one considers sets of functions which themselves can be viewed as sets built from the real numbers, the superstructure over the real numbers contains all mathematical entities that one needs to do analysis, see ([8], section 15B). In particular we claim

Proposition 2.3. *The standard model* $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *contains (isomorphic copies of) all mathematical entities that we need in order to formulate and prove our non-squeezing theorem.*

Proof. Instead of trying to give a proof listing all mathematical entities that will ever occur, we rather give the recipe and discuss the most important examples. This said, the proof of our proposition mostly relies on the following observation:

If a *and* b *are sets in* V_n*, then every function* $f : a \to b$ *is an element of* V_{n+2} *and every set of functions* $f : a \to b$ *is an element of* V_{n+3}.

For this it suffices to observe that, in set theory, a function $f : a \to b$ is identified with the subset $\{(x, f(x)) : x \in a\}$ of $a \times b$ and for each $x \in a$, $y \in b$ the tuple (x, y) is defined as the set $\{x, \{x, y\}\}$.

In order to see that all mathematical entities that we need are in the standard model, let us give the most relevant examples.

- First, since $V_0 = \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $\mathbb{R}$ as well as all its subsets like $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ are elements in V_1.
- Since tuples $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ of real numbers can be written as sets of tuples $\{(r_1, 1), \ldots, (r_n, n)\}$, we see that they are elements in V_3 and hence $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ belongs to V_4. The same holds true for the subsets $B^{2n}(r)$ and $Z^{2n}(R)$ and all other subsets.
- By identifying each separable real Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ with the space $\ell^2 = \ell^2(\mathbb{R})$ of square-summable series of real numbers (using a choice of a countable complete basis) and using that every series of real numbers is given by a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$, it follows that all elements in $\mathbb{H}$ are elements in V_3 and $\mathbb{H}$ as well as all its subsets like $\mathbb{H}_1$ are elements in V_4.

- Every Hamiltonian function H and its symplectic gradient X^H as well as every linear complex structure belong to V_6.
- Since the dual spaces of the linear spaces living in V_4 consists of functions, they hence belong to V_7.
- A linear symplectic form is an element in V_9. For this it does not matter whether we view it as a linear map from the linear space to its dual space ($\omega : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}^*$) or as a bilinear map on the linear space ($\omega : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$, using that $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \in V_7$).
- An almost complex structure J, i.e., a complex structure on the tangent bundle, is a function from the space to the set of linear complex structures. Since the latter is an element of V_7, it follows that J is again an element of V_9.
- Since the space of almost complex structures belongs to V_{10}, it follows that every one-parameter family $\tilde{J} : t \mapsto J_t$ of almost complex structures is an element of V_{12}.
- Every J-holomorphic map u is a map between sets belonging to V_4 and hence belongs to V_6. Note that, although the defining almost complex structure only appears in V_n for $n \geq 9$, the moduli space is a subset of the set of all maps and hence, by fullness of the model, is also an element in V_7.

□

In order to show that Gromov's existence result of J-holomorphic curves indeed continues to hold in infinite dimensions, we will use that, by abstract model theory, his statement also holds in the non-standard model which we are going to discuss below. To make the underlying transfer principle precise, we quickly recall all the necessary background from first-order predicate logic that is needed.

The idea is that, just like all mathematical entities that we need are contained in the standard model $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, all statements that we will transfer can be formalized in first-order logic, that is, they are sentences in the language $\mathcal{L}_V$ for our standard model V. In the same way as the details in the precise definition of models are not ultimatively important in order to understand the strategy of our proof, we continue to recall all needed foundations from logic for the sake of completeness of the exposition. For the following definitions we continue to refer to the appendix in ([11], section 2.9) as well as ([8], section 15B).

Definition 2.4. *The* alphabet *of the* language $\mathcal{L}_V$ *of the model* $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *consists of the logical symbols* $\vee$, $\neg$, $\exists$, $=$, $\in$, *a countable number of variables, the elements in* $V_{<\infty} := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} V_n$ *as parameters, and auxiliary symbols like parentheses.*

Definition 2.5. *A* sentence *in the* language $\mathcal{L}_V$ *of the model* $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is build inductively from the following rules:*

i) *If* $a, b \in V_{<\infty}$, *then* $a \in b$ *and* $a = b$ *are sentences in* $\mathcal{L}_V$.
ii) *If* A *and* B *are sentences in* $\mathcal{L}_V$, *then* $A \vee B$ *and* $\neg A$ *are sentences in* $\mathcal{L}_V$.

iii) *Let* A *be a sentence in* $\mathcal{L}_V$ *and* $a, b \in V_{<\infty}$ *are parameters in* $\mathcal{L}_V$*. If* x *is a variable not occurring in* A*, then* $\exists x \in a A_b(x)$ *is a sentence in* $\mathcal{L}_V$*, where* $A_b(x)$ *is obtained from* A *by replacing each occurrence of the parameter* b *in* A *by the variable* x*.*

Every $A(x) = A_b(x)$ *as in part iii) with a free variable* x *is called a* formula *in* $\mathcal{L}_V$*. Furthermore, for every parameter* $a \in V_{<\infty}$*, by* $A(x)(a)$ *we denote the new sentence in* $\mathcal{L}_V$ *obtained by replacing the variable* x *by the parameter* a*.*

Whether a sentence A holds true in the model V, written $V \models A$, is decided using the usual interpretation for sentences in set theory, see ([11], 2.9), ([8], 15B).

In this paper we want to apply tools from logic to the following theorem proven by Gromov in his foundational paper [7]:

Gromov's existence theorem about J-holomorphic curves: *For every natural number* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and every smooth one-parameter family* $t \mapsto J_t$*,* $t \in [0, 1]$ *of* ω*-compatible almost complex structures* J_t *on* $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ *with* J_0 *being the standard product complex structure, there exists a differentiable one-parameter family* $t \mapsto u_t$ *of* J_t*-holomorphic curves* $u_t : S^2 \to S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ *of fixed energy and for each* $t \in [0, 1]$ *the derivative* $\xi_t = \partial u_t/\partial t$ *is a solution of the linear Cauchy-Riemann type equation*

$$\nabla \xi_t + J_t(u_t) \cdot \nabla \xi_t \cdot i + \nabla_{\xi_t} J_t(u_t) \cdot T u_t \cdot i \;=\; \nabla_t J_t(u_t) \cdot T u_t \cdot i.$$

Indeed, as one can see below, we will need to consider other statements (like the monotonicity theorem for minimal surfaces) as well, but the above statement is clearly central for us.

Proposition 2.6. *The above theorem is a sentence in the language* $\mathcal{L}_V$ *of the standard model* $V = (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$*.*

Proof. First recall that all occuring mathematical entities are elements in the standard model V. For the rest it suffices to observe that, since one can define convergence and limits of sequences of real numbers using first-order logic, one can define differentiability and derivatives using the language $\mathcal{L}_V$. In particular, one can define the total derivative $T u_t$ of $u_t : S^2 \to S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}$ and the derivative $\xi_t = \partial u_t/\partial t$ and hence also state that u_t, ξ_t solve suitable versions of the Cauchy-Riemann equation. □

3. THE NON-STANDARD MODEL

Using the axiom of choice one can prove that there exists a so-called *non-standard model* in which the same mathematics hold true but in which every set from V can be viewed as a compact set. More precisely, after reformulating ([11], theorem 2.9.10), we have the following

Theorem 3.1. *Given the standard model* $V = (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *there exists a corresponding non-standard model* $W = (W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$*, together with an embedding* $* : V_{<\infty} \to W_{<\infty}$ *respecting the filtration, i.e.* $*_n : V_n \to W_n$*, satisfying the* *following two important principles.*

- Transfer principle: *If a sentence A holds in the language $\mathcal{L}_V$ of the model V, $V \models A$, then the corresponding sentence ${}^*A$, obtained by replacing the parameters from V by their images in W under $*$, holds in the language $\mathcal{L}_W$ of the model W, $W \models {}^*A$.*
- Saturation principle: *If $(a_i)_{i\in I}$ is a collection of sets in W, indexed by a set I in V, and satisfying $a_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap a_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i_1, \cdots, i_n \in b$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$* (finite intersection property)*, then also the common intersection of all a_i, $i \in I$ is non-empty, $\bigcap_{i\in I} a_i \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Since in the references the theorem is not precisely stated in the above form, let us quickly describe how it can be deduced from [11]. In ([11], theorem 2.9.10) it is claimed that there exists a so-called monomorphism from the superstructure $V(\mathbb{R})$ over $\mathbb{R}$ into the superstructure $V({}^*\mathbb{R})$ over the set ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ of non-standard real numbers. The latter are defined explicitly as equivalence classes of sequences of real numbers using the axiom of choice in ([11], definition 1.2.3). Note that by ([11], definition 2.4.3 and remark 2.4.4) the property of the map $* : V(\mathbb{R}) \to V({}^*\mathbb{R})$ being a monomorphism is equivalent to the transfer principle, in particular, the latter indeed implies that $*$ respects the filtration. On the other hand, the fact that the formulation of the saturation principle given here is equivalent to the definition in ([11], definition 2.9.1) is proven in ([11], theorem 2.9.4), noticing that, by the definition of the cardinal number κ^+ appearing in ([11], theorem 2.9.10), every set in V is κ^+-small. Since the saturation property is only assumed when all sets a_i, $i \in V$ are *internal* in the sense of ([11], definition 2.8.1), that is, when they are elements in the $*$-image ${}^*V_n(\mathbb{R}) \subset V_n({}^*\mathbb{R})$ of the set $V_n(\mathbb{R}) \in V_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$, we follow the strategy in the appendix of ([11], section 2.9) and define the non-standard model $W = (W_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by setting $W_n := {}^*V_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, every set in the non-standard model $W = (W_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is internal. □

In what follows we follow the usual conventions and write ${}^*a := *(a)$ for every set $a \in V_{<\infty}\backslash V_0$ and identify $a := *(a)$ for every urelement $a \in V_0 = \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.2. *A set a is called*

i) internal *if $a \in W_{<\infty}$,*
ii) standard *if $a = {}^*b := *(b) \in W_{<\infty}$ for some $b \in V_{<\infty}$.*
iii) external *if a is not internal.*

We start with some immediate consequences of the transfer principle, see ([11], proposition 2.4.6).

Proposition 3.3. *Let a, b be sets in $V_{<\infty}$. Then we have*

i) *$a = b$ if and only if ${}^*a = {}^*b$,*
ii) *$a \in b$ if and only if ${}^*a \in {}^*b$,*
iii) *$a \subset b$ if and only if ${}^*a \subset {}^*b$,*
iv) *$f : a \to b$ if and only if ${}^*f : {}^*a \to {}^*b$.*

These in turn lead to the following

Corollary 3.4. *It follows*

i) $* : V_{<\infty} \to W_{<\infty}$ *is an embedding.*
ii) *For every set* $b \in V_{<\infty}$ *we have that* ${}^*[b] := \{{}^*a : a \in b\} \subset {}^*b$.
iii) *For every function* $f : a \to b$ *we have that* ${}^*f : {}^*a \to {}^*b$ *is an extension of* f *in the sense that for all* $c \in a$ *we have* ${}^*(f(c)) = ({}^*f)({}^*c) \in {}^*b$.

Examples:

i) Since $+$ is a function from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, it follows that ${}^*+$ is a function from ${}^*\,\mathbb{R} \times {}^*\,\mathbb{R}$ to ${}^*\,\mathbb{R}$ with ${}^*r^* + {}^*s = {}^*(r+s)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
ii) Since the symplectic form ω on $\mathbb{H}$ is a map from $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, its $\star$-image ${}^*\omega$ is a map from ${}^*\,\mathbb{H} \times {}^*\,\mathbb{H}$ to ${}^*\,\mathbb{R}$ which agrees with ω on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H} \subset {}^*\,\mathbb{H} \times {}^*\,\mathbb{H}$. Analogous statements hold true for the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the complex structure J_0 on $\mathbb{H}$.
iii) Since, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we know that $\sum_{i=1}^k$ is a function from $(\mathbb{R}^n)^k$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$, it follows that, now even for all $n \in {}^*\,\mathbb{N}$ and all $k \in {}^*\,\mathbb{N}$, ${}^*\sum_{i=1}^k$ is a function from $({}^*\,\mathbb{R}^n)^k$ to ${}^*\,\mathbb{R}^n$ with ${}^*\sum_{i=1}^k {}^*r_i = {}^*\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i + r_k$ for all $k \in {}^*\,\mathbb{N}$.
iv) Since every sequence $s = (s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural numbers is a function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{R}$, it follows that its $*$-image ${}^*s$ is a function from ${}^*\,\mathbb{N}$ to ${}^*\,\mathbb{R}$ with ${}^*s_n = {}^*(s_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We make the following

Convention: *If no confusion is likely to arise, we make the convention to identify each standard set* $b \in V_{<\infty}$ *with* ${}^*[b] \subset {}^*b \in W_{<\infty}$. *In particular, we have* $\mathbb{R} \subset {}^*\,\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathbb{N} \subset {}^*\,\mathbb{N}$.

Indeed it is true that the saturation principle implies that the non-standard model $W = (W_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is (much) larger than the standard model $V = (V_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For the next statement we refer to ([11], proposition 2.4.6) and ([11], proposition 2.9.7).

Proposition 3.5. *We have the following dichotomy:*

i) *If* $b \in V_{<\infty}$ *has finitely many elements, then its* $*$*-image* ${}^*b \in W_{<\infty}$ *consists of the* $*$*-images of its elements,*
$${}^*\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = \{{}^*a_1, \ldots, {}^*a_n\}.$$
ii) *If* $b \in V_{<\infty}$ *has infinitely many elements, then its* $*$*-image* ${}^*b \in W_{<\infty}$ *contains* b *as a proper subset,*
$${}^*[b] = \{{}^*a : a \in b\} \subsetneq {}^*b.$$

In particular, it follows from ii) that $* : V_{<\infty} \to W_{<\infty}$ *is a proper embedding.*

Proof. While the part i) follows from the transfer principle after observing that the equality $b = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ can be incoded into the sentence $a \in b \Leftrightarrow a = a_1 \vee \ldots \vee a = a_n$ in $\mathcal{L}_V$, for part ii) consider the collection of sets $(a_i)_{i \in b}$ given by $a_i := {}^*b \backslash \{i\}$ for $i \in b$. While it easy to see that they have the finite intersection property, $a_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap a_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i_1, \cdots, i_n \in b$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every element in $\bigcap_{i \in b} a_i \neq \emptyset$ is an element of ${}^*b \backslash b$. Note that, while in part i) the finite intersection property fails, in part ii) the transfer principle cannot be applied as the corresponding sentence would have infinite length, which is forbidden. □

In particular, one can show that ${}^*\mathbb{R}$, the set of *-real (or hyperreal or non-standard real) numbers, contains infinitesimals as well as numbers which are greater than any real number.

Proposition 3.6. *The saturation principle implies the existence of the following ideal objects.*

- i) *There exist $r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$ such that $|r| < 1/n$ for every standard natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Any such $r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ (including $r = 0$) is called* infinitesimal *and we write $r \approx 0$.*
- ii) *There exist $r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ such that $|r| > n$ for every standard natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Any such $r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is called* unlimited. *Any $r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ which is not unlimited is called* limited.
- iii) *A number $r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is limited if and only if it is* near-standard *in the sense that there exists a standard real number $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r - s \approx 0$. For every near-standard $r \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ we call ${}^\circ r := s \in \mathbb{R}$ the* standard part *of r.*
- iv) *Any limited $n \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ is standard.*

Proof. For the definitions we refer to ([11], definitions 1.2.7 and 1.6.9). Since the existence of infinitesimal and unlimited numbers is the key reason why to care about non-standard analysis, let us give the short proof: Define for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sets $a_n := \{r \in {}^*\mathbb{R} : 0 < |r| < 1/n\}$ and $b_n := \{r \in {}^*\mathbb{R} : |r| > n\}$. Since the corresponding collections of sets obviously have the finite intersection property, we find that $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} a_n$ and $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}} b_n$ are non-empty and any element in these sets has the desired properties. For the third part we refer to ([11], proposition 1.6.11). Part iv) follows from the observation that there are only finitely many natural numbers smaller than a given one, so the $*$-image of the corresponding set does not contain any new elements. □

Remark 3.7. *Along the same lines we have:*

- i) *Similar statements clearly hold when ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ is replaced by ${}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ for some standard $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for every limited $r > 0$ every point on ${}^*S^{n-1}(r) \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}^n$ is near-standard, i.e., ${}^*S^{n-1}(r)$ is obtained from $S^{n-1}(r)$ by adding points which are infinitesimally close.*
- ii) *In the same way as ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ contains much more elements than $\mathbb{R}$ itself, the non-standard extension ${}^*\mathbb{H}$ of $\mathbb{H}$ is a much larger space than $\mathbb{H}$ itself.*

In ([11], theorems 1.6.8 and 1.6.15) it is shown that limited and infinitesimal numbers furthermore have the following nice closure properties.

Proposition 3.8. *We have*

- i) *Finite sums, differences and products of limited numbers are limited.*
- ii) *Finite sums, differences and products of infinitesimal numbers are infinitesimal.*

iii) *The product of an infinitesimal number with a limited number is still infinitesimal.*
iv) *The standard part of a sum, difference or product of two limited numbers is the sum, difference or product of their standard parts.*

One of the main benefits of non-standard analysis is that the clumpsy ϵ-formalism can be avoided by introducing infinitesimals and unlimited *-natural numbers. For the following proposition we refer to ([11], theorem 1.7.1).

Proposition 3.9. *A sequence* $(s_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *converges to zero,* $s_n \to 0$, *as* $n\to\infty$ *if and only if* $s_N := {}^*s_N \approx 0$ *for all unlimited* $N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\setminus\mathbb{N}$.

Since convergence in metric spaces is defined by requiring that the distance between points converges to zero, the above result immediately generalizes to all metric spaces.

Apart from showing that the non-standard model contains infinitely-large numbers, the saturation principle immediately leads to the following, even more surprising fact, see ([11], theorem 2.9.2).

Proposition 3.10. *For every standard set* $b \in V_{<\infty}$ *there exists a non-standard set* $c \in W_{<\infty}$, *which contains all elements of* a, *i.e.,* $a \in b$ *implies* ${}^*a \in c$, *and which is* *-finite *in the sense that there is a bijection from* c *to an internal set* $\{n \in {}^*\mathbb{N} : n \leq N\}$ *for some* $N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$.

Since a subset of a finite set in the standard model $V = (V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is again a finite set, this seems to lead to an obvious logical contradiction. However, since the transfer principle only applies to subsets of *-finite sets which belong to the non-standard model, i.e., are internal themselves, the logical paradoxon is resolved in the following

Proposition 3.11. *For every infinite set* $b \in V_{<\infty}$, *the corresponding proper subset* $b = {}^*[b] = \{{}^*a : a \in b\}$ *of* ${}^*b$ *is external. For example,* $\mathbb{R}$ *and* $\mathbb{N}$ *are external. In particular, the non-standard model is* not full, $W_n \subsetneq \mathfrak{P}(W_0 \cup \ldots \cup W_{n-1})$.

For the short proof we refer to ([11], proposition 2.9.6).

Remark 3.12. *In an analogous way we will prove in the next subsection that every infinite-dimensional (separable) Hilbert space* $\mathbb{H}$ *is contained in a *-finite-dimensional Euclidean vector space* $\mathbb{F}$ *of some unlimited but *-finite dimension* $N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\setminus\mathbb{N}$. *The infinite-dimensional Hilbert space* $\mathbb{H}$ *is* not *a *-finite-dimensional Euclidean vector space itself, but is only* contained *in some space which behaves as if it were finite-dimensional.*

The fact that every internal set containing an infinite standard set as a subset must be strictly larger leads to the so-called *spillover principles*, see ([11], theorem 2.8.12)

Proposition 3.13. *Let* b *denote an internal subset of* ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. *If* b *contains all standard real numbers* $r \in \mathbb{R}$ *(greater than a given real number* $R \in \mathbb{R}$*) then* b *must contain an unlimited real number.*

While these results are satisfactory from the theoretical point of view, for practical purposes it is rather important to know which subsets of an internal set in the non-standard model are still internal themselves, so that statements can be proven for them by applying the transfer principle. Since in applications one is almost exclusively interested in subsets which can be defined by requiring that their elements have a specific property, the following positive result originally due to Keisler, ([8], theorem 15.14), see also ([11], theorem 2.8.4), is sufficient for all our purposes.

Proposition 3.14. *(Internal Definition Principle) Every* definable set *belongs to the non-standard model $W = (W_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, that is, for every formula $A(x)$ in $\mathcal{L}_W$ the set $\{a \in W_{<\infty} : W \models A(x)(a)\}$ is internal.*

In particular, every finite subset of an internal set is internal.

4. *-FINITE-DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS OF INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL FLOWS

As in the introduction, let $\mathbb{H} = (\mathbb{H}, \omega)$ be an infinite-dimensional separable symplectic Hilbert space and let J_0 denote the complex structure on $\mathbb{H}$ which relates the symplectic form ω with the Hilbert space inner product via $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle = \omega(\cdot, J_0\cdot)$. Furthermore let $H : \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$, $H_t := H(t,\cdot)$ be a smooth time-dependent Hamiltonian on the full Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$. It is the goal of this paper to show that the corresponding Hamiltonian flow $\phi^H_t : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ satisfies the infinite-dimensional analogue of Gromov's nonsqueezing result: Assuming that the time-one map $\phi = \phi^H_1$ maps the ball $B^{\mathbb{H}}(r)$ of radius r into the cylinder $Z^{\mathbb{H}}(R) = B^2(R) \times \mathbb{H}/\mathbb{C}$, we have to prove that $R \geq r$.

The starting point of our proof is the observation that, using the saturation principle, every such Hamiltonian flow $\phi^{\mathbb{H}}_t = \phi_t$ can be represented by a *-finite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi^{\mathbb{F}}_t$. The underlying *-finite-dimensional space $\mathbb{F}$ is characterized by the property that it is a unitary subspace of ${}^*\mathbb{H}$ which is large enough to contain the infinite-dimensional separable symplectic Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ as a subspace. Recall that a complete orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for the symplectic Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ is called unitary if, in addition, $J_0 e_{2n+1} = e_{2n+2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a *-finite-dimensional unitary subspace $\mathbb{F}$ of ${}^*\mathbb{H}$ which contains the infinite-dimensional space $\mathbb{H}$ as a subspace,*

$$\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F} \subset {}^*\mathbb{H}.$$

Furthermore, every complete unitary basis $(e_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ can be extended to a unitary basis $(\tilde{e}_i)_{i=1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ in the sense that $\tilde{e}_i = e_i$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where the unlimited natural number $\dim\mathbb{F} \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\setminus\mathbb{N}$ *denotes the dimension of* $\mathbb{F}$ *in the non-standard model, $\mathbb{F} = {}^*\mathbb{R}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}$.*

Proof. For a similar result we refer to [13]. The proof of this surprising fact is, like the proof of the existence of infinitely large numbers, just an immediate consequence of the saturation principle for non-standard models: Concerning the existence of $\mathbb{F}$, for every element $u \in \mathbb{H}$ let A_u denote the set of *-finite-dimensional unitary subspaces F of ${}^*\mathbb{H}$ such that $u \in F$. Since for every finite collection $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{H}$ there exists a finite-dimensional unitary subspace containing u_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, by saturation it follows that there must exist a *-finite-dimensional unitary subspace, denoted by $\mathbb{F}$, in the common intersection of all A_u, $u \in \mathbb{H}$. For the extension of the complete unitary basis, we again employ the saturation principle: Since every finite collection $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of basis vectors can be extended to a unitary basis $(\tilde{e}_i)_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ by the transfer principle, it follows from saturation that there exists a unitary basis $(\tilde{e}_i)_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ with $\tilde{e}_i = e_i$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

For the rest of this exposition let us fix a complete unitary basis for the symplectic Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ which we assume to be extended to a unitary basis of $\mathbb{F}$, denoted by $(e_i)_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}}$. In particular, note that every $v \in \mathbb{F}$ can be written as a *-finite sum,

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle v, e_i \rangle \cdot e_i \ \in \mathbb{F}\,.$$

Note that the *-extensions on ${}^*\,\mathbb{H}$ of the symplectic form, the inner product and the complex structure on $\mathbb{H}$ restrict to a symplectic form, inner product and complex structure on $\mathbb{F}$ which extend the corresponding structures on $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$. Furthermore, by transfer, the square of Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{F}}$ on the *-finite-dimensional space $\mathbb{F}$ is given by the *-finite sum $\sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle v, e_i \rangle^2$.

Recall from proposition 3.6 that every limited *-real number $r \in {}^*\,\mathbb{R}$ is near-standard in the sense that there exists a standard real number $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r \approx s$, called the standard part ${}^\circ r := s$ of r. Replacing the inclusion $\mathbb{R} \subset {}^*\,\mathbb{R}$ of standard elements in a non-standard set by the inclusion $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$, we are lead to the following

Definition 4.2. *An element $u \in \mathbb{F}$ is called*

- i) limited *if its Euclidean norm $\|u\|_{\mathbb{F}} \in {}^*\,\mathbb{R}$ is limited in the sense of proposition 3.6,*
- ii) near-standard *if there exists $v \in \mathbb{H}$ with $u \approx v$ and we call v the* standard part *of u and write ${}^\circ u := v$.*

Note that here we say that $u \approx v$ for two elements $u, v \in \mathbb{F}$ if their metric distance is infinitesimal, i.e., $\|v - u\|_{\mathbb{F}} \approx 0$. Note that this definition clearly extends to elements $u, v \in {}^*\,\mathbb{H}$ using the non-standard extension $\|\cdot\|_{{}^*\,\mathbb{H}}$ of the Hilbert norm instead.

Of course, it is important to have a non-standard characterization of all near-standard points in $\mathbb{F}$.

Proposition 4.3. *A limited element $v \in \mathbb{F}$ is near-standard if and only if for all unlimited $N \in {}^*\,\mathbb{N} \backslash \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=2N+1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle v, e_i \rangle^2 \ \approx\ 0.$$

Proof. Note that, if $v \in \mathbb{F}$ is limited, then for all $n \in {}^*\,\mathbb{N}$ the coefficients $\langle v, e_i \rangle$ must be limited and hence near-standard. Now, by definition, $v \in \mathbb{F}$ is near-standard if and only if there exists $u \in \mathbb{H}$ with $v \approx u$. Since this implies that $\langle u, e_i\rangle = {}^\circ\langle v, e_i\rangle$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that v is near-standard if and only if the sequence $\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\langle v, e_i\rangle^2$ converges as $N \to \infty$. On the other hand, by proposition 3.9 the latter is equivalent to requiring that for every unlimited $N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\backslash\mathbb{N}$ the unlimited sum $\sum_{i=1}^{2N}\langle v, e_i\rangle^2$ is near-standard and its standard part is independent of $N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\backslash\mathbb{N}$. But the latter is equivalent to requiring that $\sum_{i=2N+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\langle v, e_i\rangle^2 \approx 0$ for all unlimited $N < \dim\mathbb{F}$. □

It still remains to introduce the *-finite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $\mathbb{F}$ which represents the infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}} = \phi_t$ on $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$. For this it however suffices to observe that the Hamiltonian function $H : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ defining $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$ has a non-standard extension ${}^*H : {}^*\mathbb{H} \to {}^*\mathbb{R}$. In particular, it follows from the transfer principle that the restriction of ${}^*H$ to the *-finite-dimensional subspace $\mathbb{F}$ defines a *-finite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $\mathbb{F}$. Note that, again by transfer, the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field $X^{\mathbb{F}}$ is obtained by projecting to $\mathbb{F}$ (along its symplectic complement) the *-image ${}^*X^{\mathbb{H}} = X^{{}^*\mathbb{H}} : {}^*\mathbb{H} \to {}^*\mathbb{H}$ of the Hamiltonian vector field $X^{\mathbb{H}}$ defining $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$.

Using classical arguments of non-standard model theory, see [13], it is now not very hard to show that, after employing the standard part map, the restriction of the *-finite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ to $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$ indeed agrees with the infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$.

Proposition 4.4. *For every near-standard* $v \in \mathbb{F}$ *and every* $t \in [0,1]$ *we have that* $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \in \mathbb{F}$ *is near-standard and it holds*

$$ {}^\circ\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \;=\; \phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ v). $$

Proof. First it follows from proposition 3.4 that for every $u \in \mathbb{H} \subset {}^*\mathbb{H}$ we have $X^{{}^*\mathbb{H}}(u) = X^{\mathbb{H}}(u)$, which immediately implies that $X^{\mathbb{F}} \approx X^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$: For this observe that, since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n}\langle X^{\mathbb{H}}(u), e_i\rangle^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\langle X^{{}^*\mathbb{H}}(u), e_i\rangle^2 = \|X^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\|^2$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\|X^{\mathbb{H}}(u)\| \le^\circ \|X^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the non-standard extension of the Hilbert space norm. On the other hand, since $X^{\mathbb{F}}(u)$ is obtained by projecting $X^{{}^*\mathbb{H}}(u) = X^{\mathbb{H}}(u) \in {}^*\mathbb{H}$ to $\mathbb{F}$ along its complement, we obtain $\|X^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\| \le \|X^{\mathbb{H}}(u)\|$ and hence

$$\|X^{\mathbb{H}}(u) - X^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\| \approx 0, \;\text{ that is, }\; X^{\mathbb{F}}(u) \approx X^{\mathbb{H}}(u).$$

On the other hand, since $X^{\mathbb{H}} : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{H}$ is continuous at all $u \in \mathbb{H}$, it follows from the non-standard characterization of convergence and continuity from proposition 3.9 that $X^{{}^*\mathbb{H}}(v) \approx X^{{}^*\mathbb{H}}(u) = X^{\mathbb{H}}(u)$ for all $v \in {}^*\mathbb{H}$ with $v \approx u \in \mathbb{H}$. Note that for this it is crucial that $u \in \mathbb{H}$. Since $X^{\mathbb{F}}$ is obtained by projecting $X^{{}^*\mathbb{H}}$ to $\mathbb{F}$, we further get for all $v \in \mathbb{F}$ that

$$v \approx u \in \mathbb{H} \;\text{ implies }\; X^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \approx X^{\mathbb{F}}(u) \approx X^{\mathbb{H}}(u).$$

In other words, if $v \in \mathbb{F}$ is near-standard, we proved that $X^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \in \mathbb{F}$ is near-standard with ${}^\circ X^{\mathbb{F}}(v) = X^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ v)$. But this in turn immediately implies that, if $v \approx u \in \mathbb{H}$, then the resulting Hamiltonian flows satisfy $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \approx \phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}(u)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. □

Note that our proof relies on the fact that the projections of $X^{\mathbb{H}}(u)$ to the finite-dimensional unitary subspaces $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \subset \mathbb{H}$ given by $X^n(u) := \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \langle X^{\mathbb{H}}(u), e_i \rangle \cdot e_i$ converge pointwise for every standard $u \in \mathbb{H}$ to $X^{\mathbb{H}}(u) \in \mathbb{H}$. In particular, we can alternatively use the non-standard characterization of convergence from proposition 3.9 to show that $X^{\mathbb{F}}(u) \approx X^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u) = X^{\mathbb{H}}(u)$. Denoting by ϕ_t^n the flow of the vector field X^n, their pointwise convergence to the original infinite-dimensional flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$, that is, $\phi_t^n(u)$ converges to $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}(u)$ as n goes to infinity for all $u \in \mathbb{H}$, directly implies that $\phi^{\mathbb{F}}(u) \approx \phi^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u) = \phi^{\mathbb{H}}(u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{H}$, again using proposition 3.9.

Remark 4.5. *If we would know that $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$ can indeed be* uniformly *approximated by finite-dimensional flows ϕ_t^n, then by the same arguments we would get that $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}} \approx \phi_t^{*\,\mathbb{H}}$ does not only hold on $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$, but on all of $\mathbb{F}$ (indeed it holds on all of ${}^*\mathbb{H}$). The reason is that in our arguments above we would not need to fix the point $u \in \mathbb{H}$. Note that in this case it is an easy exercise to show that the non-squeezing theorem for the *-finite-dimensional flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$, which simply holds by the transfer principle, immediately implies that non-squeezing holds for $\phi_t^{*\,\mathbb{H}}$ and hence, using the transfer principle backwards, also for the original infinite-dimensional flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$. On the other hand, it can indeed already be shown easily, see* [3], [4]*, that the uniform approximatibility by finite-dimensional flows implies non-squeezing for the approximated infinite-dimensional flow.*

Along the same lines, one can show that an analogous results holds true for all derivatives $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$. Note that, by the transfer principle, for every standard $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every standard $u \in \mathbb{H}$ the k.th derivative $T^k \phi_t^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u)$ of the non-standard extension $\phi_t^{*\,\mathbb{H}} = {}^*\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$ of the Hamiltonian flow is given by the non-standard extension ${}^*(T^k \phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}(u))$ of the k.th derivative $T^k \phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}(u)$.

Proposition 4.6. *For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every near-standard $v \in \mathbb{F}$ the k.th derivative $T^k \phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}(v)$ of the *-finite-dimensional flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ at $v \in \mathbb{F}$ agrees, up to an infinitesimal error, with (the restriction to $\mathbb{F} \subset {}^*\mathbb{H}$ of the non-standard extension of) the k.th derivative $T^k \phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ v)$ of the infinite-dimensional flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$ at its standard part ${}^\circ v \in \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$. In particular, for every limited $w^1, \dots, w^k \in \mathbb{F}$ it holds that*

$$T^k \phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \cdot (w^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w^k) \;\approx\; T^k \phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ v) \cdot (w^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w^k),$$

and if $w^1, \dots, w^k \in \mathbb{F}$ are even near-standard, we have

$${}^\circ(T^k \phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \cdot (w^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w^k)) = T^k \phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ v) \cdot ({}^\circ w^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes {}^\circ w^k).$$

Proof. The proof relies on the fact that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and at every standard $u \in \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$, the k.th derivative of $X^{\mathbb{F}}$ agrees, up to an infinitesimal error, with the k.th derivative of the non-standard extension $X^{*\,\mathbb{H}}$ of the Hamiltonian vector field, i.e.,

$$T^k X^{\mathbb{F}}(u) \cdot (w^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w^k) \;\approx\; T^k X^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u) \cdot (w^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes w^k)$$

for all limited $w^1,\dots,w^k \in \mathbb{F}$. While the case for $k=0$ was proven above, for the general case let us restrict to the case $k=1$ in order to keep the notation simpler.

First, in analogy to above, we use that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} \langle TX^{\mathbb{H}}(u), e_i \otimes e_j^*\rangle^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u), e_i \otimes e_j^*\rangle^2$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $1 \le j \le \dim \mathbb{F}$, where (e_i^*) denotes the *-finite-dimensional dual basis to the orthonormal basis (e_i) of $\mathbb{F}$. On the other hand, since the $\mathbb{H}^* \otimes \mathbb{H}^*$-norm of $T^2H(u)$ is finite, it follows directly that the limit

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle TX^{\mathbb{H}}(u), e_i \otimes e_j^*\rangle^2 = \|TX^{\mathbb{H}}(u)\|^2 < \infty$$

exists. Since the latter agrees with $\|TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u)\|^2$ which is greater than or equal to $\|TX^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\|^2$ given by

$$\|TX^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u), e_i \otimes e_j^*\rangle^2$$

as $X^{\mathbb{F}}$ is obtained by projecting $X^{*\,\mathbb{H}}$ to $\mathbb{F} \subset {}^*\mathbb{H}$, we get that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u), e_j^*\rangle \cdot e_j^* \approx \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle TX^{\mathbb{F}}(u), e_j^*\rangle \cdot e_j^*$$

and hence $TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u)\cdot w \approx TX^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot w$ for all limited $v \in \mathbb{F}$ using proposition 3.8.

In order to finish the proof, we again need to observe that the statement holds at near-standard points. Since $X^{\mathbb{H}}$ is continuously differentiable, it follows from proposition 3.9 that

$$TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(v)\cdot w \approx TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u)\cdot w \;\text{ for all }\; v \approx u \in \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}\,.$$

On the other hand, since $TX^{\mathbb{F}}$ is obtained by projecting $TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}$ to $\mathbb{F} \subset {}^*\mathbb{H}$, we also have $TX^{\mathbb{F}}(v)\cdot w \approx TX^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot w$ for all $v \approx u \in \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$, which together gives $TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(v)\cdot w \approx TX^{\mathbb{F}}(v)\cdot w$ for all near-standard $v \in \mathbb{F}$ and limited $w \in \mathbb{F}$. Since

$$T\phi_0^{\mathbb{F}}(v)\cdot w = w = T\phi_0^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(v)\cdot w$$

as well as

$$\partial_t T\phi_t^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(v)\cdot w = TX^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(v)\cdot w \;\text{ and }\; \partial_t T\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}(v)\cdot w = TX^{\mathbb{F}}(v)\cdot w,$$

it follows that we indeed have

$$T\phi_t^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(v)\cdot w \approx T\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}(v)\cdot w$$

for all near-standard $v \in \mathbb{F}$ and limited $w \in \mathbb{F}$.

The last statement in the proposition follows by simply observing that $T\phi_t^{*\,\mathbb{H}}(u)\cdot v = T\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}(u)\cdot v$ for all standard $u, v \in \mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{F}$ by corollary 3.4. $\square$

5. NON-SQUEEZING IN INFINITE DIMENSIONS USING NON-STANDARD ANALYSIS

Denoting by $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ an arbitrary unitary subspace of $\mathbb{H}$, for each $r > 0$ let $B^{\mathbb{H}}(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{H} : \|x\| < r\}$, $Z^{\mathbb{H}}(r) = B^2(r) \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$ denote the Hilbert ball and the Hilbert cylinder of radius $r > 0$, respectively. Let us assume now that the flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}} = \phi_t$ defined by the smooth Hamiltonian function $H_t : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ has the property that $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}(B^{\mathbb{H}}(r)) \subset Z^{\mathbb{H}}(R)$. Then it is the goal to show that $R \geq r$.

We start by outlining the natural infinite-dimensional generalization of the geometrical setup that Gromov used in his non-squeezing proof. First, note that for every standard $R > 0$ and every standard $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that there exist a symplectic embedding of $B^2(R) \subset \mathbb{C}$ into the two-sphere $S^2(\sigma)$ of radius σ with area $R^2\pi + \epsilon$. Let $J_t^{\mathbb{H}} := (\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}) * J_0$ denote the family of compatible almost complex structures on $\mathbb{H}$ obtained as push-forward of the complex structure J_0 on $\mathbb{H}$ under the infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}} = \phi_t$ on $\mathbb{H}$, where we abbreviate $J^{\mathbb{H}} = J_1^{\mathbb{H}}$. Using this homotopy together with a cut-off function to interpolate smoothly between $J^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\partial B^2(R)$ and $J_0 = J_0^{\mathbb{H}}$, the push-forward compatible almost complex structure $J^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $B^2(R) \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$ can be extended to a compatible almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$.

In order to follow the strategy of Gromov's proof, the next step would be to prove the existence of a $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$-holomorphic sphere u in $S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$, that is, a map $u : S^2 \to S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$ with $Tu + \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(u) \cdot Tu \cdot i = 0$, which furthermore passes through $p = \phi^{\mathbb{H}}(0) \subset S^2(\sigma) \times \{0\}$ and is homotopic to $S^2(\sigma) \times \{0\} \subset S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$.

In other words, this requires to show that Gromov's theory of pseudo-holomorphic curves generalizes in a sufficient way from finite-dimensional to infinite-dimensional manifolds. Apart from the fact that there are, in general, obvious problems with compactness as the target spaces are not even locally compact, there are furthermore even severe problems with the underlying nonlinear Fredholm theory. Indeed it can easily be seen, e.g. by observing that the dimension of the target manifold appears in the formula for the Fredholm index, that in the infinite-dimensional setting the appearing nonlinear Cauchy-Riemann operators are in general no longer Fredholm, see the work by [15] for the additional challenges that arise in infinite dimensions and its solution in the case when the Hamiltonian flows are compact perturbations of linear flows.

Instead of studying in how far Gromov's theory of pseudo-holomorphic curves generalizes from finite to infinite dimensions, in this paper we show how non-standard model theory provides an alternative and effective way to generalize Gromov's result from finite to infinite dimensions. Here the crucial idea is that, using the transfer principle, it follows that all statements that Gromov used in his non-squeezing proof have analogues in the *-finite-dimensional setup. Apart from the monotonicity theorem for minimal surfaces, this in particular applies to Gromov's result about the existence of pseudo-holomorphic spheres. While this immediately proves that non-squeezing holds true for the time-one map $\phi_1^{\mathbb{F}}$ of the *-finite-dimensional flow, in this section we show that, in order to prove non-squeezing for the infinite-dimensional flow map $\phi_1^{\mathbb{H}}$, it just remains to be shown that the corresponding J-holomorphic sphere used in Gromov's proof is near-standard.

In strict analogy to the construction above, let $J_t^{\mathbb{F}} := (\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}) * J_0$ denote the family of compatible almost complex structures on $\mathbb{F}$ obtained as push-forward of the complex structure J_0 on $\mathbb{F}$ under the *-finite-dimensional Hamiltonian flow $\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $\mathbb{F}$, where we again abbreviate $J^{\mathbb{F}} = J_1^{\mathbb{F}}$. Using this homotopy together with the same cut-off function as above to interpolate between $J^{\mathbb{F}}$ on $\partial B^2(R)$ and $J_0 = J_0^{\mathbb{F}}$, the push-forward compatible almost complex structure $J^{\mathbb{F}}$ on ${}^*B^2(R) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{F}$ can be extended to a compatible almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$ on ${}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$.

Since both the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$ on ${}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ as well as the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ are directly defined using the first derivatives $T\phi_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $T\phi_t^{\mathbb{H}}$ of the flows $\phi^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\phi^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{H}$, respectively, note that we have the following immediate corollary to proposition 4.6.

Corollary 5.1. *For every near-standard $v \in {}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ we have that $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(v)$ agrees with (the restriction of the non-standard extension of) $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ v)$ up to an infinitesimal error; an analogous result holds true for the k.th derivatives of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$ at near-standard points $v \in \mathbb{F}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, for all limited $w \in T_v({}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{F}$ we have*

$$\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \cdot w \approx {}^*\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ v) \cdot w,$$

and for every near-standard $w \in T_v({}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{F}$ we have that $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \cdot w \in T_v({}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{F}$ is near-standard with

$${}^\circ(\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(v) \cdot w) \; = \; \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ v) \cdot {}^\circ w.$$

While the proof of the existence of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$-holomorphic spheres would require, as we discussed, a generalization of Gromov's theory to infinite dimensions, in the *-finite-dimensional case the existence of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic spheres is immediate. Indeed, by applying the transfer principle for non-standard models we know that, up to reparametrization, there exists a $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere

$$u : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}, \; Tu + \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u) \cdot Tu \cdot i = 0$$

which passes through $p = \phi^{\mathbb{H}}(0) \approx \phi^{\mathbb{F}}(0)$ and is homotopic to the embedding ${}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \{0\} \subset {}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$. In order to prove non-squeezing for $\mathbb{H}$ in place of $\mathbb{F}$, we just need to show the following

Theorem 5.2. *The $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere $u : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is infinitesimally close to $S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C} \subset {}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$, i.e., for every $z \in {}^*S^2$ the point $u(z) \in {}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is near-standard.*

Note that here near-standardness is defined with respect to the metric on ${}^*S^2(\sigma)$ inherited from the ambient Euclidean space. Using the fact, see the remark after proposition 3.6, that every point on ${}^*S^2(\sigma)$ is near-standard, the characterization of near-standardness for points in $\mathbb{F}$ from proposition 4.3 generalizes naturally from $\mathbb{F} = {}^*\mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathbb{F}}$ to ${}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ after identifying $\mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ with ${}^*\mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathbb{F} - 2}$.

Indeed we will prove below that, after applying the standard part map, one obtains a smooth $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{H}}$-holomorphic sphere ${}^\circ u_t : S^2 \to S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ in the standard sense, as needed to directly generalize Gromov's proof to the case of separable Hilbert spaces. While this would provide an alternative method to finish the proof, we decide to just use the near-standardness and finish the proof of the nonsqueezing theorem in the non-standard setting, as in this case the required monotonicity theorem immediately holds by the transfer principle, and we do not need its generalization to infinite dimensions.

As in Gromov's original proof it follows from the definition of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$ that $\Sigma := (\phi^{\mathbb{F}})^{-1}(u({}^*S^2) \cap Z^{\mathbb{F}}(R))$ is a minimal surface for the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{F}$. Knowing that ${}^*u(S^2)$ sits in an infinitesimal neighborhood of $S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ in ${}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$, that is, $\phi^{\mathbb{F}}(\Sigma)$ sits in an infinitesimal neighborhood of $Z^{\mathbb{H}}(R) \subset Z^{\mathbb{F}}(R)$, it follows from $\phi^{\mathbb{H}}(B^{\mathbb{H}}(r)) \subset Z^{\mathbb{H}}(R)$ and $\phi^{\mathbb{F}} \approx \phi^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $B^{\mathbb{H}}(r) \subset B^{\mathbb{F}}(r)$ that, up to an infinitesimal error, the area of $\Sigma \cap B^{\mathbb{F}}(r)$ must be greater or equal to $r^2\pi$. Note that here we further use that, up to an infinitesimal error, Σ passes through the origin $0 \in B^{\mathbb{H}}(r) \subset B^{\mathbb{F}}(r)$ and that the area estimate for minimal surfaces through the origin of $B^{\mathbb{F}}(r)$ continues to hold by the transfer principle. On the other hand, since the area of $u({}^*S^2)$ is equal to the area of ${}^*S^2(\sigma)$, it follows that $r^2\pi \leq R^2\pi + \epsilon$, which still implies $r \leq R$ as $\epsilon > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small.

6. J-HOLOMORPHIC SPHERES AND *-FINITE-DIMENSIONAL REPRESENTATIONS

Let us denote by $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ the homotopy of compatible almost complex structures on ${}^*S^2(\sigma) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ which extends the family of push-forward almost complex structures $J_t^{\mathbb{F}}$ on ${}^*B^2(R) \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ and connects $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}} = \tilde{J}_1^{\mathbb{F}}$ with the standard product complex structure $J_0 = \tilde{J}_0^{\mathbb{F}}$. We are indeed going to show

Theorem 6.1. *For every $t \in {}^*[0,1]$ the unique $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere $u_t : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is near-standard in the sense that for every $z \in {}^*S^2$ the image point $u(z)$ is near-standard. Moreover, after applying the standard part map, one obtains a smooth $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{H}}$-holomorphic sphere ${}^\circ u_t : S^2 \to S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ in the standard sense.*

Here and in the following we are going to abbreviate $S^2(\sigma) = S^2$. The standard part map now assigns to every near-standard point in ${}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ the unique standard point in $S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$.

For the uniqueness of the $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere we first make the convention that this holds true up to reparametrization and we always assume that it goes through the fixed point $p = \phi^{\mathbb{H}}(0)$. Furthermore we actually have to assume that the homotopy $t \mapsto \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t$ is regular in the sense that the corresponding nonlinear Cauchy-Riemann operator is a section in the corresponding non-standard Banach space bundle $\mathcal{E}^p \to [0,1] \times \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$ with $\mathcal{B}^{1,p} = \mathcal{B}^{1,p}({}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C})$ which is transversal to the zero section. Furthermore it then follows for the corresponding path ${}^*[0,1] \to \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$, $t \mapsto u_t$ that for every $t \in {}^*[0,1]$ there exists a derivative $\xi_t = \partial u_t / \partial t \in T_{u_t} \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$.

Note that the existence of the Banach space bundle is ensured, as usual, by the transfer principle. However, we emphasize that now weak derivatives and the finiteness of their Lebesgue norms are clearly to be understood in the non-standard sense; in particular, all arising norms will in general be unlimited in the sense of proposition 3.6. On the other hand, it follows again from the transfer principle that the original given homotopy $t \mapsto \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t$ indeed can be perturbed to a regular homotopy within the class the homotopies of compatible almost complex structures. Since the perturbation can be chosen to be arbitrarily small, we can and will indeed choose an infinitesimal perturbation. Since, after applying the standard part map, the difference will no longer be visible, we will continue to denote the perturbed family of compatible almost complex structures by $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t$.

The proof of the near-standardness relies on the following two observations:

First, since the compatible almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_0$ agrees with the standard product complex structure J_0 on ${}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$, we know that for $t = 0$ the unique J_0-holomorphic curve is given by the inclusion ${}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2 \times \{0\} \subset {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$ and hence is infinitesimally close to $S^2 \times \{0\} \subset S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$.

Second, in order to ensure that the $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t$-holomorphic sphere u_t stays infinitesimally close to $S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C} \subset {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$, we use that, *very informally speaking*, the compatible almost complex structure (and hence also the induced Riemannian metric) are of split-form, $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t \approx \mathrm{diag}(\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}_t, J_0)$ $(g^{\mathbb{F}}_t \approx g^{\mathbb{H}}_t \oplus \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ along $S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C} \subset {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} / {}^*\mathbb{C}$.

Remark 6.2. *One might be tempted to follow the strategy of our proof in order to show that the Hopf-Rinow theorem about the existence of length-minimizing geodesics on complete Riemannian manifolds generalizes to infinite-dimensional manifolds modelled on separable Hilbert spaces. While this is indeed known to be false, we emphasize that the above splitting property indeed no longer holds to be true when one considers general Riemannian metrics* $g^{\mathbb{H}}$ *on a Hilbert space* $\mathbb{H}$*, that is, Riemannian metrics which are not obtained by pushing-forward the inner product on* $\mathbb{H}$ *under a Hamiltonian flow. The reason is that for the non-standard extension* ${}^*g^{\mathbb{H}} = g^{{}^*\mathbb{H}}$ *we in general do* not *have* $g^{{}^*\mathbb{H}}(e_m, e_n) \approx \delta_{m.n}$ *if* m *or* n *is unlimited, as in general we do* not *have that* $g^{\mathbb{H}}(e_m, e_n) \to \delta_{m,n}$ *as* $m, n \to \infty$. *Note that here* (e_n) *denotes a fixed complete orthonormal basis of* $\mathbb{H}$.

Obviously it is the main challenge is to make the second argument rigorous. For this note that the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ is not a set in the non-standard model, i.e., it is not an internal subspace of $\mathbb{F}$, so that there, in particular, there does not exist a complementary space to $\mathbb{H}$ in $\mathbb{F}$. On the other hand, it turns out to be crucial that the $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic spheres have limited derivatives in order to ensure that infinitesimal errors lead to infinitesimal errors. Because of the latter observation, the proof of the theorem indeed relies on the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that the $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere $u_t : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} /^* \mathbb{C}$ is near-standard. Then u_t has limited first derivatives, i.e., the supremums norm $\|Tu_t\|_\infty$ induced by the Riemannian metric $g_t^{\mathbb{F}} = \omega(\cdot, \tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}\cdot)$ on ${}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} /^* \mathbb{C}$) is a limited *-real number. After applying the standard part map, the resulting map ${}^\circ u_t : S^2 \to S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ is indeed smooth in the standard sense and satisfies*

$$T^\circ u_t + \tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ u) \cdot T^\circ u_t \cdot i = 0.$$

Lemma 6.4. *Assume that the $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere $u_t : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} /^* \mathbb{C}$ is near-standard, in particular, it has limited first derivatives. Then the derivative $\xi_t = \partial u_t / \partial t \in T_{u_t} \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$ of the path ${}^*[0,1] \to \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$, $t \mapsto u_t$ is near-standard.*

The near-standardness of all the tangent vectors $\xi_t = \partial u_t / \partial t \in T_{u_t} \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$, together with a Lipschitz-type estimate which we will give at the end of the proof of the second lemma, indeed shows that the $\tilde{J}_t^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic spheres in ${}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F} /^* \mathbb{C}$ stay infinitesimally close to $S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ as t runs from 0 to 1.

7. Limited derivatives using bubbling-off and elliptic regularity

In this section we give a proof of lemma 6.3. Since here the t-parameter is fixed, we will from now on drop the subindex t for notational simplicity. For the proof we use a non-standard version of the classical bubbling-off argument from ([12], section 4.2) together with elliptic regularity from ([12], section B.4). We emphasize that it will turn out to be crucial that the $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere u is near-standard in order to ensure that all appearing constants are limited and non-infinitesimal using corollary 5.1. Furthermore we use here that the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$ and the induced Riemannian metric $g^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $S^2 \times \mathbb{H} / \mathbb{C}$ are smooth in the standard sense.

Step 1: Limitedness of Tu using bubbling-off

Note that, while it follows from the transfer principle that the $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere u is smooth, in particular, the supremum norm of its first derivative is finite, this statement clearly only holds in the non-standard sense. In particular, we in general need to expect that this supremum norm is an unlimited *-real number. In this section we first show that the supremum norm of Tu indeed is limited. The crucial ingredient is that we know a priori that the energy $E(u)$ of u is limited, as it equals $R^2\pi + \epsilon$. We then prove the limitedness of the first derivative by contraction, replacing in the usual bubbling-off proof convergence to infinity by unlimitedness. On the other hand, in order to be able to use the mean-value inequality ([12], lemma 4.3.1) in the main step, we need to ensure that the derivatives of the induced Riemannian metric $g^{\mathbb{F}} = {}^*\omega(\cdot, \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}\cdot)$ are limited and/or not infinitesimal, respectively.

Assume that $\|Tu\|_\infty = \max\{\|Tu(z)\| : z \in {}^*S^2\} = C$ is an unlimited *-real number and choose $z_0 \in {}^*S^2$ such that $\|Tu(z_0)\| = C$, where we assume without loss of generality that $z_0 = 0$. As in the classical bubbling-off proof we define $v : {}^*B^2(C) \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}/{}^*\mathbb{C}$ by $v(z) := u(z/C)$, such that $\|Tv(0)\| = 1$ and $\|Tv(z)\| \leq 1$ for all $z \in {}^*B^2(C)$. Because $C \in {}^*\mathbb{R}^+$ was assumed to be unlimited, note that ${}^*B^2(C) \subset {}^*\mathbb{C}$ is a disk of unlimited radius; in particular, it contains the full complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ as a subset. For each $r \in [0, C] \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}^+$ define $\gamma_r : {}^*S^1 \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}/{}^*\mathbb{C}$ by $\gamma_r(t) := v(re^{2\pi t})$.

Assume that there exists some standard $R \in \mathbb{R}^+ \subset {}^*\mathbb{R}^+$ such that the length $\ell(\gamma_r)$ (measured again using the Riemannian metric $g^{\mathbb{F}}$ induced by $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$) satisfies $\ell(\gamma_r) \geq \delta$ for all $r \geq R$ for some *non*-infinitesimal $\delta > 0$. Then, analogous to Gromov's original proof of bubbling-off replacing infinite by unlimited, the energy $E(v) = \int v^*\omega$ of v is unlimited by conformality. Hence we know that for all non-infinitesimal $\delta > 0$ and all standard $R > 0$ there exists some $r \geq R$ such that $\ell(\gamma_r) < \delta$. But now, using proposition 3.13, there must exist some unbounded $0 < R \leq C$ such that the length of the corresponding loop is infinitesimal, $\ell(\gamma_R) \approx 0$. On the other hand, since the pullback of the symplectic form under the restriction v_R of v to ${}^*B^2(R) \subset {}^*B^2(C)$ is exact, it follows from Stokes' theorem for the energy of v_R that $E(v_R) = \int v_R^*\omega \approx 0$.

In order to get the required contradiction, it remains to use the mean-value inequality as in Gromov's original proof. As mentioned above, this is point where it becomes important that the image of u is near-standard, since this implies, by corollary 5.1, that on the image of u the derivatives of $g^{\mathbb{F}}$ agree with the derivatives of $g^{\mathbb{H}} = \omega(\cdot, \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}\cdot)$ up to infinitesimal error. Since $g^{\mathbb{H}}$ is smooth in the standard sense, we get that the derivatives of $g^{\mathbb{F}}$ on the image of u have limited supremum norm, which in turn proves that the local energy bound in ([12], lemma 4.3.1) is non-infinitesimal. More precisely, we get that there exists some non-infinitesimal $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\int_{{}^*B^2(R)} \|Tv\|^2 < \delta \;\;\Rightarrow\;\; \|Tv(0)\| \leq \frac{8}{r^2} \cdot \int_{{}^*B^2(R)} \|Tv\|^2.$$

In particular, from $E(v_R) \approx 0$, it hence immediately follows that $\|Tv(0)\| \approx 0$, in contradiction to $\|Tv(0)\| = 1$.

Step 2: Smoothness of $^\circ u$ *using elliptic regularity*

Since the norms of the first derivatives of the $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere $u : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}/{}^*\mathbb{C}$ have a limited uniform bound, we immediately get that u is Lipschitz continuous with a limited Lipschitz constant. But with this it is immediate to see that, after applying the standard part map, the resulting map ${}^\circ u : S^2 \to S^2 \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$ is Lipschitz continuous in the standard sense, where the corresponding Lipschitz constant for ${}^\circ u$ is just the standard part of the Lipschitz constant for u. But this in turn immediately shows that ${}^\circ u$ is indeed continuous in the standard sense.

After applying the transfer principle to the elliptic estimates used to prove regularity of pseudo-holomorphic curves in the finite-dimensional setting, we can even prove that ${}^\circ u$ is smooth in the standard sense. For this we again will crucially use that u is near-standard so that corollary 5.1 applies, together with the smoothness of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$ in the standard sense.

Since the supremum norm of Tu as well as the area of ${}^*S^2$ are limited, it follows by transfer that the $(1,p)$-norm of u is a limited number. Here and below all norms are understood in the non-standard sense. As in the proof of ([12], theorem B.4.1) get from the elliptic estimates that also the (k,p)-norm of u is limited for every standard natural number k. For the induction step observe that the composition $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}} \circ u$ has a limited $(k-1,p)$-norm if this holds for u and for every standard ℓ the ℓ.th derivative of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$ has a limited supremum norm on the image of u.

In order to ensure the latter, it simply suffices to use corollary 5.1, together with the fact the supremum norm of the ℓ.th derivative of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$ on the image of u is finite in the standard and hence limited in the non-standard sense. Here we use that we already know that ${}^\circ u$ is continuous and hence the image of ${}^\circ u$ is compact (in the standard sense).

Finally, after transferring the Sobolev embedding theorem, we get that the supremum norm of the ℓ.th derivative of u is limited for every standard natural number ℓ. In the same way as the limitedness of the first derivative of u was used to prove continuity of ${}^\circ u$, the latter result proves that ${}^\circ u$ is ℓ-times continuously differentiable for all standard $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., smooth in the standard sense.

Finally, in order to see that the $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphicity of u implies that ${}^\circ u : S^2 \to S^2 \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C}$ satisfies

$$T^\circ u + \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}_t({}^\circ u) \cdot T^\circ u \cdot i = 0,$$

it just suffices to use corollary 5.1, i.e., the fact that on $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C} \subset {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/^*\,\mathbb{C}$ the *-finite-dimensional almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$ agrees with the infinite-dimensional almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$. Indeed the latter holds up to an infinitesimal error, but this however becomes invisible after taking the standard part map.

8. J-HOLOMORPHIC SPHERES STAY NEAR-STANDARD

In this section we give the proof of the lemma 6.4 that makes precise the claim that the $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t$-holomorphic sphere continues to stay infinitesimally close to $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C} \subset {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/^*\,\mathbb{C}$. Recall that in section 6 we claim that this follows, *very informally speaking*, from the minimal surface property of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t$-holomorphic curves together with the fact that the induced Riemannian metric is of split-form $g^{\mathbb{F}}_t \approx g^{\mathbb{H}}_t \oplus \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ along $S^2 \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C} \subset {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/^*\,\mathbb{C}$. In this section we make this argument precise using a non-standard version of Liouville's theorem.

We prove this crucial result in the following four steps.

Step 1: $\xi = \xi_t$ *solves* $(\bar{\partial} + S^{\mathbb{F}})\xi = \eta^{\mathbb{F}}$.

In order to apply Liouville's theorem, we need to play the Cauchy-Riemann equation into play. Since in the standard finite-dimensional situation the corresponding result is well-known, see e.g. [12], as already mentioned in the section on non-standard models we can simply again employ the transfer principle to obtain that tangent vector $\xi = \xi_t = \partial u_t/\partial t$ of the path $t \mapsto u_t$ of $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t$-holomorphic spheres in $u_t : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/^*\,\mathbb{C}$ at a given fixed $t \in [0,1]$ satisfies the linear Cauchy Riemann equation

$$\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\xi + \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\xi\cdot i + \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\xi}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot Tu\cdot i \;=\; \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_t\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot Tu\cdot i$$

with $u := u_t$, $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}} := \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}_t$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Riemannian metric $g^{\mathbb{F}} = {}^*\omega(\cdot, \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}\cdot)$ on ${}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/^*\,\mathbb{C}$. In order to keep the arguments simpler, we will actually use that, using a unitary trivialization $\Phi^{\mathbb{F}} = \Phi^{\mathbb{F}}_{t,u} : \mathbb{F} \to u^*T({}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/^*\,\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot\Phi^{\mathbb{F}} = \Phi^{\mathbb{F}}\cdot J_0$, this linear Cauchy-Riemann equation can be rewritten in the form

$$(\bar{\partial} + S^{\mathbb{F}})\xi \;=\; \eta^{\mathbb{F}}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\mathbb{F}} &= (\Phi^{\mathbb{F}})^{-1}(\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}} + \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}\cdot i + \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot Tu\cdot i), \\ \eta^{\mathbb{F}} &= (\Phi^{\mathbb{F}})^{-1}(\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot Tu\cdot i). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: ξ *is limited*

As the next step we show that the unique solution ξ of $(\bar{\partial} + S^{\mathbb{F}})\xi = \eta^{\mathbb{F}}$ is limited in the sense that $\xi(z) \in \mathbb{F}$ is limited for all $z \in {}^*S^2$. This crucially relies on the fact that the underlying $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}$-holomorphic sphere $u : {}^*S^2 \to {}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/^*\,\mathbb{C}$ is assumed to be near-standard and that u has limited derivatives.

First, from the near-standardness of u it immediately follows from corollary 5.1 that $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)$ agrees, up to an infinitesimal error, with to (the non-standard extension of) $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ u)$. Note that, using the canonical unitary trivializations defined using the derivatives $T\phi^{\mathbb{F}}_t$ and $T\phi^{\mathbb{H}}_t$, it immediately follows that the same can be arranged for the unitary trivializations $\Phi^{\mathbb{F}} = \Phi^{\mathbb{F}}_{t,u} : \mathbb{F} \to u^*T({}^*S^2 \times \mathbb{F}\,/^*\,\mathbb{C})$ and $\Phi^{\mathbb{H}} = \Phi^{\mathbb{H}}_{{}^\circ t,{}^\circ u} : \mathbb{H} \to {}^\circ u^*T(S^2 \times \mathbb{H}\,/\,\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot\Phi^{\mathbb{F}} = \Phi^{\mathbb{F}}\cdot J_0$ and $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ u)\cdot\Phi^{\mathbb{H}} = \Phi^{\mathbb{H}}\cdot J_0$. On the other hand, now using the statements for the (higher) derivatives in proposition

4.6 and corollary 5.1, we get that $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}$ agree, up to an infinitesimal error, with $\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^{\circ}u)$. Indeed, we get from proposition 4.6 and corollary 5.1 that all statements continue to hold true when we consider k.th derivatives for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

While the linear operator $D^{\mathbb{F}} = \bar{\partial} + S^{\mathbb{F}}$ is invertible, as we have achieved regularity after adding an infinitesimal perturbation, we cannot immediately deduce the limitedness of $\|\xi\|$ from the inequality $\|\xi\| \leq \|(D^{\mathbb{F}})^{-1}\| \cdot \|\eta^{\mathbb{F}}\|$, as the operator norm of the inverse operator of $D^{\mathbb{F}}_u$ need not be a limited number. In order to prove the limitedness of ξ, we use the standard operator $D^{\mathbb{H}} = \bar{\partial} + S^{\mathbb{H}}$ instead. Here for every near-standard $u \in \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$ we define $S^{\mathbb{H}} = S^{\mathbb{H}}_{^{\circ}u}$, $\eta^{\mathbb{H}} = \eta^{\mathbb{H}}_{^{\circ}u}$, in analogy to $S^{\mathbb{F}} = S^{\mathbb{F}}_u$, $\eta^{\mathbb{F}} = \eta^{\mathbb{F}}_u$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\mathbb{H}} &= (\Phi^{\mathbb{H}})^{-1}(\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}\Phi^{\mathbb{H}} + \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^{\circ}u) \cdot \nabla^{\mathbb{H}}\Phi^{\mathbb{H}} \cdot i + \nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^{\circ}u) \cdot T^{\circ}u \cdot i), \\ \eta^{\mathbb{H}} &= (\Phi^{\mathbb{H}})^{-1}(\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^{\circ}u) \cdot T^{\circ}u \cdot i). \end{aligned}$$

Although we cannot assume that $D^{\mathbb{H}}$ is invertible itself (as we did not assume any regularity for the path $t \mapsto \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}_t$ of almost complex structures), we however know that the induced quotient map $H^{1,p}(S^2,\mathbb{H})/\ker D^{\mathbb{H}} \to \operatorname{im} D^{\mathbb{H}}$, again denoted by $D^{\mathbb{H}}$, is an isomorphism. In particular, the corresponding inverse operator has a finite operator norm. Furthermore, we indeed know, despite missing transversality, that $\eta^{\mathbb{H}} \in \operatorname{im} D^{\mathbb{H}}$: Defining the extended linearized operator

$$\tilde{D}^{\mathbb{H}} \cdot (\xi,\tau) = D^{\mathbb{H}} \cdot \xi + (\Phi^{\mathbb{H}})^{-1}(\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\tau}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(u) \cdot T^{\circ}u \cdot i)$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\dim\ker\tilde{D}^{\mathbb{H}} \geq \operatorname{ind}\tilde{D}^{\mathbb{H}}$; in particular, the equation $D^{\mathbb{H}} \cdot \xi = \eta^{\mathbb{H}}$ for $\tau = 1$ always has a solution.

Together with $S^{\mathbb{F}} \approx S^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\eta^{\mathbb{F}} \approx \eta^{\mathbb{H}}$ it follows that the $(1,p)$-norm $\|\xi\|$ of the solution ξ of $(\bar{\partial} + S^{\mathbb{F}}) \cdot \xi = \eta^{\mathbb{F}}$ can be bounded by $\|\xi\| \leq c \cdot \|\eta^{\mathbb{F}}\|$, where the limited number c is given by the operator norm of the inverse operator of the quotient map $H^{1,p}(S^2,\mathbb{H})/\ker D^{\mathbb{H}} \to \operatorname{im} D^{\mathbb{H}}$. On the other hand, using the transfer of the Sobolev embedding theorem as in the last section, we get that the supremum norm of ξ is limited as well.

Step 3: $S^{\mathbb{F}}\xi$ and $\eta^{\mathbb{F}}$ are near-standard.

Using proposition 3.8 we want to show that the limitedness of ξ (together with the limitedness of the derivative of u) that $S^{\mathbb{F}}\xi$ and $\eta^{\mathbb{F}}$ are not only limited, but even near-standard in the sense that $(S^{\mathbb{F}}\xi)(z) \in \mathbb{F}$, $\eta^{\mathbb{F}}(z) \in \mathbb{F}$ are near-standard for all $z \in {}^*S^2$. For this let us again denote by $(e_i)_{i=1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{F}$ extending a chosen complete orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H}$; further let us denote by $(e_i^*)_{i=1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}$ denote the corresponding dual basis of $\mathbb{F}^*$. After introducing this notation, by proposition 4.3 it is the goal to show that

$$\sum_{i=2N+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}} \langle S^{\mathbb{F}}\xi, e_i\rangle^2 \approx 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=2N+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}} \langle \eta^{\mathbb{F}}, e_i\rangle^2 \approx 0$$

for all unlimited $\dim \mathbb{F} > N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\backslash\mathbb{N}$.

First, it follows from the smoothness of $H : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_{k+1}=1}^{\infty} \langle T^{k+1}H(^\circ u), e^*_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^*_{i_{k+1}}\rangle^2 < \infty$$

and hence, equivalently,

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k,j=1}^{\infty} \langle T^k X^{\mathbb{H}}(^\circ u), e^*_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^*_{i_k} \otimes e_j\rangle^2 < \infty.$$

Since $T^k\phi^{\mathbb{H}}_0 = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$, this immediately gives

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k,j=1}^{\infty} \langle T^k \phi^{\mathbb{H}}_t(^\circ u), e^*_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^*_{i_k} \otimes e_j\rangle^2 < \infty$$

for all $k \geq 2$. Using that the almost complex structure $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}$ as well as the canonical unitary trivialization $\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}$ are defined using $\phi^{\mathbb{H}}_t$, it follows that we in particular get

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{H}}\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}(^\circ u), e^*_i \otimes e_j\rangle^2 < \infty \text{ and}$$
$$\sum_{i_1,i_2,j=1}^{\infty} \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^\circ u), e^*_{i_1} \otimes e^*_{i_2} \otimes e_j\rangle < \infty.$$

Combining proposition 4.3 with the fact that $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}} \approx \nabla^{\mathbb{H}}\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u) \approx \nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^\circ u)$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}(u), e^*_i \otimes e_j\rangle^2 \approx 0 \text{ and}$$
$$\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u), e^*_{i_1} \otimes e^*_{i_2} \otimes e_j\rangle \approx 0$$

for all unlimited $\dim \mathbb{F} > N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\backslash\mathbb{N}$.

But with this it follows with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and proposition 3.8 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}} \cdot \xi, e_j\rangle^2\\ &\leq \Big(\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}, e^*_i \otimes e_j\rangle^2\Big) \cdot \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathbb{F}} \langle \xi, e_i\rangle^2\Big) \approx 0,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}} \langle \nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}\xi}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot Tu\cdot i, e_j\rangle^2\\ &\leq \Big(\sum_{j=2N+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\langle\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u), e^*_{i_1}\otimes e^*_{i_2}\otimes e_j\rangle^2\Big)\cdot\|\xi\|^2\cdot\|Tu\|^2\approx 0\end{aligned}$$

for all unlimited $\dim\mathbb{F} > N \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\backslash\mathbb{N}$, proving that $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}\cdot\xi$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}\xi}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot Tu\cdot i$ are indeed near-standard by proposition 4.3. Note that by the same arguments we find that $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_t\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\cdot Tu\cdot i$ is near-standard. Finally employing that $\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)\approx\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}({}^\circ u)$ and $\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}\approx\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}$ map near-standard elements to near-standard elements, it follows that $S^{\mathbb{F}}\xi$ and $\eta^{\mathbb{F}}$ are near-standard as desired.

Step 4: ξ is near-standard.

From the fact that $S^{\mathbb{F}}\xi$ and $\eta^{\mathbb{F}}$ are near-standard, it immediately follows from $(\bar{\partial}+S^{\mathbb{F}})\cdot\xi=\eta^{\mathbb{F}}$ that $\bar{\partial}\xi$ must be near-standard itself. We now complete the proof of the lemma by showing that the near-standardness of $\bar{\partial}\xi$ implies the near-standardness of ξ by using a non-standard version of Liouville's theorem.

To this end, we assume that ξ is not near-standard, i.e., there exists some unlimited $\dim\mathbb{F} > N_0 \in {}^*\mathbb{N}\backslash\mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=2N_0+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\langle\xi,e_i\rangle^2$ is not infinitesimal.

Defining $\xi^{\perp}_{N_0} := \sum_{i=2N_0+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\langle\xi,e_i\rangle\cdot e_i$, it immediately follows from $J_0e_{2n+1}=e_{2n+2}$ that

$$\|\bar{\partial}\xi^{\perp}_{N_0}\|^2=\sum_{i=1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\langle\bar{\partial}\xi^{\perp}_{N_0},e_i\rangle^2=\sum_{i=2N_0+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\langle\bar{\partial}\xi,e_i\rangle^2.$$

On the other hand, since $\bar{\partial}\xi$ is near-standard, it follows from the characterization of near-standardness in proposition 4.3 that

$$\sum_{i=2N_0+1}^{\dim\mathbb{F}}\langle\bar{\partial}\xi,e_i\rangle^2\approx 0.$$

Together with the fact that $\xi(z_0)=0$ and hence $\xi^{\perp}_{N_0}(z_0)=0$, where $z_0\in{}^*S^2$ is the fixed point getting mapped to $p=\phi^{\mathbb{H}}(0)$, in analogy to the classical proof of Liouville's theorem we claim that this implies $\xi^{\perp}_{N_0}\approx 0$ and hence the desired contradiction.

Abbreviating $\tilde{\xi}:=\xi^{\perp}_{N_0}:{}^*S^2\to{}^*\mathbb{R}^{\dim\mathbb{F}-2N-2}\cong{}^*\mathbb{C}^{\dim\mathbb{F}/2-N-1}$, observe that $\bar{\partial}\tilde{\xi}\approx 0$ implies that

$$\Big\|\int_{\partial^*B^2(R;z)}\tilde{\xi}(z)\,dz\Big\|\leq\int_{{}^*B^2(R;z)}\|\bar{\partial}\tilde{\xi}(z,\bar{z})\|\,dz\wedge d\bar{z}\approx 0,$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ here denotes the Euclidean norm on ${}^*\mathbb{R}^{\dim \mathbb{F} - 2N - 2}$. For this we apply Stokes' theorem componentwise, which holds again by the transfer principle. On the other hand, using the classical proof from complex analysis, this also gives

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\xi}}{\partial z}(z) \approx \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial^* B^2(R;z)} \frac{\tilde{\xi}(w)}{(w-z)^2}.$$

Since ξ and hence $\tilde{\xi}$ are limited, it follows, as in Liouville's original proof, that $\partial\tilde{\xi}/\partial z \approx 0$ which, together with $\tilde{\xi}(z_0) = 0$, implies $\tilde{\xi} \approx 0$ as desired.

A Lipschitz-type inequality

In order to finish the proof of theorem 5.2, it remains to establish a Lipschitz-type estimate in order to prove that the near-standardness of the derivative $\xi_t = \partial u_t/\partial t$ of the path $t \mapsto u_t$ at near-standard points u_t (together with the near-standardness of u_0) proves the near-standardness of the path, in analogy to the uniqueness of the flow for a given vector field.

Lemma 8.1. *For every near-standard $u \in \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$ where the linear Cauchy-Riemann equation $(\bar{\partial} + S^{\mathbb{F}}_u) \cdot \xi = \eta^{\mathbb{F}}_u$ has a unique solution (up to reparametrization) we define $\xi_u = \xi \in T_u\mathcal{B}^{1,p}$ to be this unique solution. Then the assignment $u \mapsto \xi_u$ is locally Lipschitz, where a limited Lipschitz constant can be chosen in a ball of non-infinitesimal radius around each near-standard u.*

Proof. Recall that for every near-standard $u \in \mathcal{B}^{1,p}$ we defined $S^{\mathbb{H}}$, $\eta^{\mathbb{H}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\mathbb{H}} &= (\Phi^{\mathbb{H}})^{-1}(\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}\Phi^{\mathbb{H}} + \tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^\circ u) \cdot \nabla^{\mathbb{H}}\Phi^{\mathbb{H}} \cdot i + \nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^\circ u) \cdot T^\circ u \cdot i), \\ \eta^{\mathbb{H}} &= (\Phi^{\mathbb{H}})^{-1}(\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^\circ u) \cdot T^\circ u \cdot i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for every standard u the assignments $u \mapsto S^{\mathbb{H}}_u$, $u \mapsto \eta^{\mathbb{H}}_u$ are smooth in the standard sense. In particular, the derivatives are bounded in a ball of non-infinitesimal size around each standard u. On the other hand, it follows from the fact that for all near-standard u we have that $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{F}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{F}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{F}}(u)$ agree, with all (standard) derivatives up to an infinitesimal error, with $\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathbb{H}}_{\Phi^{\mathbb{H}}}\tilde{J}^{\mathbb{H}}(^\circ u)$ by proposition 4.6 and corollary 5.1, that also for all near-standard u the assignments $u \mapsto S^{\mathbb{F}}_u$, $u \mapsto \eta^{\mathbb{F}}_u$ have bounded limited derivatives in a ball of non-infinitesimal size around each near-standard u. It follows immediately that the assignments $u \mapsto S^{\mathbb{F}}_u$, $u \mapsto \eta^{\mathbb{F}}_u$ have limited Lipschitz constants in a ball of non-infinitesimal size around each near-standard u. Using the continuous dependence of the solution $\xi = \xi_u$ on $S^{\mathbb{F}}_u$ and $\eta^{\mathbb{F}}_u$, the claim follows. □

REFERENCES

[1] Abbondandolo, A., Majer, P., *A non-squeezing theorem for convex symplectic images of the Hilbert ball.* Arxiv preprint (1405.3200), 2014.

[2] Bourgain, J., *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations - Part I: Schrodinger equations.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 3, pp. 107156, 1993.

[3] Bourgain, J., *Approximation of solutions of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations by finite-dimensional equations and nonsqueezing properties.* Int. Math. Res. Not. 2, pp. 7990, 1994.

[4] Colliander, J., Keel, M., Staffilani, G., Takaoka, H., Tao, T., *Symplectic non-squeezing of the Korteweg-de Vries flow.* Acta Math. 195, pp. 197252, 2005.

[5] Fabert, O., *Arnold conjecture and nonlinear Schrodinger equations.* Arxiv preprint (1507.00482), 2015.

[6] Fabert, O., *Infinite-dimensional symplectic non-squeezing using dynamical capacities.* in preparation.

[7] Gromov, M., *Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds.* Inv. Math. 82(2), pp. 307-347, 1985.

[8] Keisler, H., *Foundations of infinitesimal calculus.* Boston: Prindle, Weber and Schmidt, 1976. Online available at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/2.5/

[9] Kuksin, S., *Infinite-dimensional symplectic capacities and a squeezing theorem for Hamiltonian PDEs.* Commun. Math. Phys. 167, pp. 531552, 1995.

[10] Loeb, P., *Simple Nonstandard Analysis and Applications.* in: Loeb, P., Wolff, M., Nonstandard Analysis for the Working Mathematician, Mathematics and its applications, Springer, 2015.

[11] Loeb, P., *An Introduction to General Nonstandard Analysis.* in: Loeb, P., Wolff, M., Nonstandard Analysis for the Working Mathematician, Mathematics and its applications, Springer, 2015.

[12] McDuff, D. and D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology.* AMS Colloquium Publications 52, 2004.

[13] Osswald, H., *Malliavin calculus in abstract Wiener space using infinitesimals.* Adv. Math. 176(1), pp. 1-37, 2003.

[14] Robinson, A., *Non-standard analysis.* Princeton University Press, 1996.

[15] Sukhov, A., Tumanov, A., *Symplectic non-squeezing in Hilbert space.* Arxiv preprint (1411.3989), 2014.